Louislana Community and Technical College System

Institution: Baton Rouge Community College

Date: March 29, 2011

## **GRAD Act Template for Reporting on Annual Benchmarks and 6-Year Targets**

Eler	nent Reference	Measure	Baseline Year/Term Data to include	Baseline data	Year 1 Benchmark	Year 1 * Actuel	Year 2 Benchmark	Year 3 Benchmark	Year 4 Benchmark	Year 5 Benchmark	Year 6 Target
. Stu	dent Success										
. <i>1</i> .	Targeted	1st to 2nd Year Retention Rate(+/-)**	Fall 08 to Fall 09	47.8%	49.0%	48.2%	50.0%	51.0%	52.0%	52.0%	53.0%
		Actual Baseline Doto:	# in Fall 08 Cohort	1224		1248	- Sumar				
			# retained to Foll 09	585		602	and the second				
ii.	Targeted	1st to 3rd Year Retention Rate (+/-)**	Fall 07 cohort	na				Edit in the	100 A 100 A	and the second states	
	4-Yr only	Actuol Baseline Data:	# in Fall 07 Cohart								
			# retained to Fall 09	See wards date	The start start						
ili.	Targeted	Fall to Spring Retention Rate(+/-)**	Fall 08 to Spring 09	na							
	Tech Coll Only	Actual Baseline Data:	# in Fall 08 Cohort								
			# retoined to Spring								
iv.	Targeted	Same Institution Graduation Rate(+/-)**	2008 Grad Rate Survey	2.9%	3.4%	3.3%	3.9%	4.4%	4.9%	5.4%	5.9%
		Actual Baseline Data:	Fall revised cohort (total)	889		950					
			completers <=150% af time	26		31	1 march	Harry Well			
V.	Targeted	Graduation Productivity (+/-)**	2008-09 AY	na							
	optional	Actual Baseline Data:	2008-09 undergrad FTE								
			completers (undergrad)								
vi.	Targeted	Award Productivity (+/-)**	2008-09 AY	па							
	optional	Actual Baseline Dota:	2008-09 undergrod FTE								
			awords (duplicated)		BER AND						
vi	. Targeted	Statewide Graduation Rate(+/-)**	Fall 2002 Cohort	na							
	aptional	Actual Boseline Data:	# af Fall 02 FTF (cohart)								
			completers <=150% of time								
). <i>1</i> .	Targeted ***	Percent Change in program completers(+/-)**						See 34			
		Certificate - 1 yr (Award level 1)			1566.7%	1566.7%	4.4%	6.6%	8.8%	11.0%	13.2%
			2008-09 AY	9	150	150	9	10	10	10	10
		Associate (Award level 2)			10.4%	10.4%	36.7%	55.0%	73.3%	91.7%	110.0%
			2008-09 AY	288	318	318	394	446	499	552	605

\* Report data in all cells highlighted in [19]

\*\* A margin of error will be allawed for annual benchmarks and 6-year targets in the Annual Review Institution Notes: